Tetrahedron Letters 51 (2010) 5302–5305

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

Synthetic studies toward the PPAP natural products, prolifenones A and B and hyperforin: an Effenberger cyclization approach

Goverdhan Mehta *, Thangavel Dhanbal, Mrinal K. Bera

Department of Organic Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560 012, India

Polycyclic polyprenylated acylphloroglucinols (PPAPs) are a growing family of functionally complex natural products, all based on a bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,4,9-trione core, and occurring in the plants and shrubs of the family Clusiaceae (Guttiferae), which in turn is composed of many genera and species.^{[1,2](#page-3-0)} PPAPs are notable for their dense and varied functionalization pattern, mixed biosynthesis based on the polyketide andmevalonate pathways, and wide ranging bioactivity profile.^{1,2} Clusianone (1), nemorosone (2), and hyperforin (3), embodying a bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane framework, generously substituted with prenyl groups and exhibiting impressive biological activity are typical examples of PPAPs (Fig. 1).^{1a} Considering these attributes, it is hardly surprising that considerable synthetic efforts,³ including our own, 4 have been directed toward this group of natural products and there have been some noteworthy successes.

More recently, new structural variants among PPAPs, bearing one or more geranyl substituents at different positions, have been

0040-4039/\$ - see front matter © 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2010.07.171

unraveled. These include prolifenone A (4), prolifenone B (5), 2n enervosanone (6), oblongifolin $A(7)$, 20 and a di-geranylated oblongifolin D (8) as significant examples ([Fig. 1\)](#page-0-0). These geranylated PPAPs 4–8 are attractive targets for total synthesis endeavors, particularly as they display interesting bioactivities.² However, no synthetic report directed toward any member of this family has appeared in the literature so far. As part of our ongoing interest in the synthesis of PPAP natural products, 4 we became interested in the synthesis of these geranylated PPAPs and wanted to harness the efficacy of the Effenberger^{[5](#page-3-0)} cyclization (annulation) as the pivotal reaction.^{3aa} This choice was dictated by the presence of an enolic 1,3-dicarbonyl moiety in one of the C_3 bridges of the bicyclo[3.3.1]nonane framework of the PPAPs 4–8, and the demonstrated utility of the Effenberger cyclization protocol in the synthesis of the important PPAP natural product clusianone $(1).$ ^{3c,g,i,m}

Among the prototypical geranylated PPAPs, prolifenones A (4) and B (5) interested us as the setting-up of their C8 quaternary center bearing a homogeranyl chain, in a stereoselective manner, was considered a challenge worth pursuing. In this Letter, we report our initial studies toward accessing the core structure of prolifenones 4 and 5, employing the Effenberger cyclization, and further extension of this protocol in the context of the closely related PPAP, hyperforin (3).

Our proposed approach in the context of the prolifenones 4 and 5 is displayed retrosynthetically (for 5) in Scheme 1 and hinged on the key Effenberger cyclization⁵ on stereodefined **10** to furnish **9**, the penultimate precursor of the natural product, embodying the requisite bicyclo[3.3.1]nonanone framework. The precursor 10 was to be accessed from farnesyl-derived methyl ketone 11 through the intermediacy of the dimedone derivative 12 and cyclohexadione enol ether 13, Scheme 1.

The first task was to devise a convenient access to the dimedone derivative 12 harboring the key C8 quaternary center. For this purpose, commercial farnesol (14) was oxidized to farnesol in a routine manner and addition of methyl lithium led to the homofarnesol 15, which on further oxidation delivered the farnesyl-derived methyl ketone 11. Tandem Michael addition–Claisen condensation in 11 with dimethyl malonate led to 16 which delivered, on further decarboxylation, the required dimedone derivative $12⁶$ $12⁶$ $12⁶$ embodying the C8 quaternary center and a homogeranyl chain, Scheme 2.

The dimedone derivative 12 was readily transformed into the ethyl enol ether 17 and kinetically controlled alkylation with

Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions. (a) (i) $MnO₂$, hexane, $0 °C$ to rt, 24 h, 82%; (ii) MeLi, Et₂O, -78 °C to 0 °C, 3 h, 89%; (b) MnO₂, hexane, 0 °C to rt, 48 h, 68%; (c) CH₂(COOMe)₂, NaOEt, EtOH, 60 °C, 12 h; (d) KOH, EtOH, 60 °C, 72 h, 64% (over two steps).

prenyl bromide furnished a readily separable diastereomeric mixture of 18 and 19^6 19^6 (1:1.1), among which the latter had the required relative stereochemistry of the prenyl and the homogeranyl substituents, [Scheme 3](#page-2-0). The stereochemistry of 18 and 19 was settled unambiguously through extensive 2D NMR analyses and the key nOes are displayed on their respective structures. Enone transposition of the desired diastereomer 19 via DIBAL-H reduction and acid-mediated elimination of ethanol furnished the transposed enone 20. Chemoselective reduction of the enone double bond in 20 was accomplished with $NiCl₂-NaBH₄$ to furnish $10⁶$ $10⁶$ $10⁶$ [Scheme 3.](#page-2-0) Cyclohexanone 10 was regioselectively transformed into its TBS– enol ether 21 to set the stage for the contemplated Effenberger annulation.

Exposure of 21 to malonyl chloride, essentially following Stoltz's conditions,^{3aa} and subsequent base hydrolysis furnished stereoselectively the bicyclo[3.3.1]nonanone scaffold 9, bearing the enolic 1,3-dicarbonyl moiety, as a mixture of regioisomers, [Scheme](#page-2-0)

Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis of prolifenone B (5) from farnesyl-derived methyl ketone 11.

Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) TiCl₄, EtOH, 0 °C to rt, 1 h, 86%; (b) LDA, prenyl bromide, -78 °C to 0 °C, 10 h, 92% (**18:19 =** 1:1.1); (c) (i) DIBAL-H, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 30 min; (ii) concd HCl, acetone/H₂O (20:1), 0 °C, 15 min, 63% (over 2 steps); (d) NiCl₂, NaBH₄, MeOH, 0 °C to rt, 1 h, 97%; (e) TBSOTf, Et₃N, DMAP, 0 °C to rt, 3 h, 93%; (f) CH₂(COCl)₂, CH₂Cl₂, KOH, PTC, –20 °C, 24 h, 38%; (g) TMS-CHN₂, Et₂O, 0 °C, 1 h, 66% (23:24 = 1:1); (h) CH(OMe)3, PTSA, MeOH, reflux, 48 h, 45%.

3. The origin of the stereoselectivity during the Effenberger annulation on 21 leading to 9 may be attributed to the stereoinductive effect of the axial methyl group which hinders the β -face as shown in structure 22 (Fig. 2). Exposure of 9 to TMS-diazomethane led to two readily separable regioisomeric methyl enol ethers **23** and $\mathbf{24}^{6}$ $\mathbf{24}^{6}$ $\mathbf{24}^{6}$ Scheme 3. The two regioisomeric methyl enol ethers were differentiated on the basis of 2D NMR studies, more notably through the key nOes depicted on structures 23 and 24. It was further observed that the regioisomeric mixture 9, obtained from the Effenberger

annulation of TBS–enol ether 21, could be converted directly into the desired regioisomer 24 on exposure to trimethyl orthoformate and p-toluenesulfonic acid in refluxing methanol. With the acquisition of 24 with requisite C7 and C8 relative stereochemistry, we had reached the penultimate stage in our synthesis of the prolifenones 4 and 5, and the task that remained was the introduction of the requisite C1 substituent. However, our initial efforts in this direction through direct bridge-head substitution at C1, following appropriate precedence, $3m,p$ were consistently unsuccessful, however, some tactical modifications are being explored currently.

Having successfully demonstrated the preparation of the prolifenone framework lacking the C1 substituent, we next explored the efficacy of the Effenberger cyclization in the related context of hyperforin (3). Toward this objective, cyclohexanone 25, recently reported by $us₁^{4e}$ and having a preinstalled quaternary center with a homoprenyl substituent, appeared to be a suitable precursor. The TBS- protected enol ether 26 of 25, on exposure to malonyl chloride underwent smooth and stereoselective Effenberger cyclization to furnish the bicyclo[3.3.1]nonanone scaffold 27 bearing an enolic 1,3-dicarbonyl moiety, as a mixture of regioisomers. The stereochemical outcome and malonyl group addition from the α -face once again mirror the observation made in the case of the prolifenones (vide supra). Brief exposure of 27 to TMS-diazo-

Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) TBSOTf, Et₃N, DMAP, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 3 h, 95%; (b) $CH_2(COCl)_2$, KOH, CH_2Cl_2 , H₂O, -10 °C, 24 h; (c) TMS-CHN₂, Et₂O, 0 °C, 1 h, 25% (over two steps) (28:29 = 1:1); (d) CH(OMe)₃, PTSA, MeOH, 50 °C, 48 h, 66%; (e) LDA, prenyl bromide, THF, -78 °C, 1 h, 61%.

methane led to a separable mixture (1:1) of the enol ethers 28 and $29\rlap{.}^6$ [Scheme 4.](#page-2-0) When equilibrated with trimethyl orthoformate in the presence of p-toluenesulfonic acid in refluxing methanol, the mixture of 28 and 29, or 28 alone was converted into the thermodynamically more stable 29, [Scheme 4.](#page-2-0) Finally, the more stable 1,3 dicarbonyl-derived enol methyl ether 29 was subjected to prenylation in the presence of LDA to furnish $30\textdegree$ an advanced intermediate in quest for the natural product hyperforin, [Scheme 4.](#page-2-0) The present approach to 30, only requiring further introduction of the C1 and C3 substituents, should pave the way for developing a short synthesis of hyperforin.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated the utility of an Effenberger cyclization-based approach in the stereoselective synthesis of the penultimate precursor of the geranylated PPAPs, prolifenones A and B. The efficacy of this tactic has been further demonstrated through a short access to an advanced intermediate of hyperforin.

Acknowledgments

D.T. thanks JNCASR for the award of a post-doctoral fellowship. M.K.M. thanks CSIR for the award of a research fellowship. G.M. is thankful to CSIR for the award of a Bhatnagar Fellowship.

References and notes

- 1. Recent review: (a) Ciochina, R.; Grossman, R. B. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 3963– 3986; (b) Singh, I. P.; Sidana, J.; Bharate, S. B.; Foley, W. J. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2010, 27, 393–416.
- 2. Early work: (a) Karanjgoakar, C. G.; Rama Rao, A. V.; Venkataraman, K.; Palmer, K. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 14, 4977-4980; (b) Baystrov, N. S.; Chernov, B. K.; Dobrynin, V. N.; Kolosov, M. N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 16, 2791–2794; More recently, (c) Šavikin-Fodulović, K.; Aljančić, I.; Vajs, V.; Menković, N.; Macura, S.; Gojgić, G.; Milosavljević, S. J. Nat. Prod. 2003, 66, 1236-1238; (d) Lin, Y. L.; Wu, Y. S. Helv. Chim. Acta 2003, 86, 2156–2162; (e) Wu, J.; Cheng, X.-F.; Harrison, L. J.; Goh, S.-H.; Sim, K.-Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 9657–9659; (f) Verotta, L.; Lovaglio, E.; Sterner, O.; Appendino, G.; Bombardelli, E. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 7869–7874; (g) Weng, J.-R.; Tsao, L.-T.; Wang, J.-P.; Wu, R.-R.; Lin, C.-N. J. Nat. Prod. 2004, 67, 1796–1799; (h) Wu, C.-C.; Weng, J.-R.; Won, S.-J.; Lin, C.-N. J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 1125–1127; (i) Herath, K.; Jayasuriya, H.; Ondeyka, J. G.; Guan, Z.; Borris, R. P.; Stijfhoorn, E.; Stevenson, D.; Wang, J.; Sharma, N.; MacNaul, K.; Menke, J. G.; Ali, A.; Schulman, M. J.; Singh, S. B. J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 617–619; (j) Baggett, S.; Protiva, P.; Mazzola, E. P.; Yang, H.; Ressler, E. T.; Basile, M. J.; Weinstein, I. B.; Kennelly, E. J. J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 354–360; (k) Massoit, G.; Long, C.; David, B.; Serrano, M. J.; Daubie, F.; Alby, F.; Ausseil, F.; Knibiehler, M.; Moretti, C.; Hoffmann, J. S.; Cazaux, C.; Lavaud, C. J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 979–984; (l) Hernandez, I. M.; Fernandez, M. C.; Cuesta-Rubio, O.; Piccinelli, A. N.; Rastrelli, L. J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 931–934; (m) Taher, M.; Idris, M. S.; Ahmad, F.; Arbain, D. Phytochemistry 2005, 66, 723–726 [Erratum: Phytochemistry 2006, 67, 1048]; (n) Henry, G. E.; Raithore, S.; Zhang, Y.; Jayaprakasam, B.; Nair, M. G.; Heber, D.; Seeram, N. P. J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 1645–1648; (o) Hamed, W.; Brajeul, S.; Mahuteau-Betzer, F.; Thoison, O.; Mons, S.; Delpech, B.; Hung, N. V.; Sévenet, T.; Marazano, C. J. Nat. Prod. **2006**, 69, 774–777; (p) Cao, S.; Brodie, P. J.; Miller, J. S.; Ratovoson, F.; Birkinshaw, C.; Randrianasolo, S.; Rakotobe, E.; Rasamison, V. E.; Kingston, D. G. I. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 686–688; (q) Huang, S.- X.; Feng, C.; Zhou, Y.; Han, Q.-B.; Qiao, C.-F.; Chang, D. C. J. Nat. Prod. 2009, 72, 130–135; (r) Xu, G.; Kan, W. L. T.; Zhou, Y.; Song, J.-Z.; Han, Q.-B.; Qiao, C.-F.; Cho, C.-H.; Rudd, J. A.; Lin, G.; Xu, H.-X. J. Nat. Prod. 2010, 73, 104–108.
- 3. Lead references for synthetic approaches and total syntheses of PPAP natural ptoducts: (a) Shimizu, Y.; Shi, S. L.; Usuda, H.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 1103–1106; (b) Abe, M.; Saito, A.; Nakada, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 1298–1302; (c) Tolon, B.; Delpech, B.; Marazano, C. ARKIVOC 2009, 13, 252–264; (d) Barabé, F.; Bétournay, G.; Bellavance, G.; Barriault, L. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4236–4238; (e) Couladouros, E. A.; Dakanali, M.; Demadis, K. D.; Vidali, V. P. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 4430–4433; (f) Kraus, G. A.; Jeon, I. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 286–288; (g) Nuhant, P.; David, M.; Pouplin, T.; Delpech, B.; Marazano, C. *Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 287–289; (h) Takagi, R.; Inou*e, Y.;
Ohkata, K. *J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 9320–9325; (i) Ahmad, N. M.; Rodeschini, V.;* Simpkins, N. S.; Ward, S. E.; Wilson, C. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2007, 5, 1924–1934; (j) Tsukano, C.; Siegel, D. R.; Danishefsky, S. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 8840– 8844; (k) Qi, J.; Porco, J. A., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12682–12683; (m) Rodeschini, V.; Simpkins, N. S.; Wilson, C. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 4265–4267; (n) Abe, M.; Nakada, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 4873–4877; (o) Abe, M.; Nakada, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 6347–6351; (p) Siegel, D. R.; Danishefsky, S. J. J.

Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1048-1049; (q) Nicolaou, K. C.; Carenzi, G. E. A.; Jeso, V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 3895–3899; (r) Kuramochi, A.; Usuda, H.; Yamatsugu, K.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 14200– 14201; (s) Takagi, R.; Nerio, T.; Miwa, Y.; Matsumura, S.; Ohkata, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 7401-7405; (t) Klein, A.; Miesch, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 4483–4485; (u) Kraus, G. A.; Dneprovskaia, E.; Nguyen, T. H.; Jeon, I. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 8975–8978; (v) Usuda, H.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 3621–3624; (w) Kraus, G. A.; Nguyen, T. H.; Jeon, I. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 659–661; (x) Ciochina, R.; Grossman, R. B. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4619– 4621; (y) Srikrishna, A.; Kumar, P. P.; Reddy, T. J. ARKIVOC 2003, 3, 55–66; (z) Usuda, H.; Kanai, M.; Shibasaki, M. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 859–862; (aa) Spessard, S. J.; Stoltz, B. M. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 1943–1946; (ab) Young, D. G. J.; Zeng, D. X. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 3134–3147; (ac) Nicolaou, K. C.; Pfefferkorn, J. A.; Kim, S.; Wei, H. X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 4724–4725.

- 4. (a) Mehta, G.; Bera, M. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 1113–1116; (b) Mehta, G.; Bera, M. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 689–692; (c) Mehta, G.; Bera, M. K.; Chatterjee, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 1121–1124; (d) Mehta, G.; Bera, M. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2008, 49, 1417–1420; (e) Mehta, G.; Bera, M. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 3519–3522.
- 5. Schonwalder, K. H.; Kollatt, P.; Stezowski, J. J.; Effenburger, F. Chem. Ber. 1984, 117, 3280–3296.
- 6. All new compounds reported here are racemic and characterized on the basis of spectroscopic data (IR, ¹H, ¹³C NMR and HRMS). Compound **12**: IR (neat): v_{max} 1588, 1576 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.06-5.02 (m, 2H), 3.34 (s, 2H) 2.60 (1/2ABq, J = 14 Hz, 2H), 2.48 (1/2ABq, J = 14 Hz, 2H), $2.05-1.93$ (m, 6H), 1.67 $(s, 3H)$, 1.58 $(s, 3H)$, 1.57 $(s, 3H)$, 1.42–1.22 (m, 2H), 0.98 $(s, 3H)$. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): d 203.76 (2C), 135.99, 131.45, 124.11, 123.01, 57.51, 52.46, 44.64, 41.17, 39.58, 33.59, 26.57, 25.66, 25.05, 22.19, 17.65, 15.92. HRMS (ES): m/z calcd for C₁₈H₂₈O₂Na (M+Na): 299.1987, found: 299.1985. Compound 19: IR (neat): v_{max} 1660, 1614 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.25 (s, 1H), 5.20-5.16 (m, 1H), 5.07–5.03 (m, 2H), 3.76 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 2.37–1.93 (m, 12H), 1.68 $(s, 6H)$, 1.60 $(s, 6H)$, 1.57 $(s, 3H)$, 1.43–1.41 (m, 1H), 1.36 $(t, J = 7.2$ Hz, 3H), 0.98 $(s, 3H)$. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 202.05, 174.00, 135.31, 131.65, 131.37, 124.24, 123.91, 123.22, 100.80, 64.04, 55.32, 40.32, 39.64, 39.59, 37.83, 26.63, 25.78, 25.68, 24.56, 22.26, 22.16, 17.80, 17.67, 15.92, 14.15. HRMS (ES): m/z calcd for $C_{25}H_{40}O_2$ (M+H): 373.3106, found: 373.3114. Compound 10: IR (neat): v_{max} 1716, 1450 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.12-5.06 (m, 3H), 2.38-2.18 (m, 5H), 2.12–1.96 (m, 8H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 1.61 (s, 3H), 1.59 (s, 6H), 1.23–1.54 (m, 2H), 0.81 (s, 3H). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3): d 212.73, 135.27, 132.62, 131.37, 124.23, 124.01, 123.36, 52.52, 42.70, 41.35, 40.93, 40.84, 39.66, 27.45, 27.27, 26.65, 25.84, 25.68, 21.75, 20.05, 17.88, 17.67, 15.94. HRMS (ES): m/z calcd for C₂₃H₃₈ONa (M+Na): 353.2820, found: 353.2814. Compound 23: IR (neat): v_{max} 1737, 1659, 1599 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.73(s, 1H) 5.10–5.02 (m, 2H), 4.97–4.95 (m, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.15 (br s, 1H), 3.10(s, 1H), 2.26–1.86 (m, 8H), 1.72–1.53 (m, 4H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 1.66 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 3H), 1.61 $(s, 3H)$, 1.55 $(s, 3H)$, 1.17–1.09 (m, 1H), 0.92 $(s, 3H)$. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 206.38, 195.64, 175.57, 135.28, 133.37, 131.40, 124.19, 123.88, 122.15, 105.96, 61.44, 61.06, 56.61, 44.57, 39.68, 38.92, 38.56, 33.55, 28.09, 26.66, 25.78, 25.68, 21.51, 17.88, 17.68, 17.49, 15.89. HRMS (ES): m/z calcd for C₂₇H₄₀O₃Na (M+Na): 435.2875, found: 435.2864. Compound 24: IR (neat): v_{max} 1739, 1657.
1606 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.68 (s, 1H), 5.12-5.07 (m, 2H), 4.96 $(m, 1H)$, 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.13 (d, J = 4 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (s, 1H), 2.46–2.38 (m, 1H), 2.19– 2.15 (m, 1H), 2.09–2.04 (m, 3H), 1.98–1.95 (m, 2H), 1.88–1.85 (m, 1H), 1.73–1.70 (m, 3H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.57 (s, 3H), 1.51–1.52
(m, 1H), 1.35–1.31 (m, 1H), 0.88 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 206.58, 194.09, 175.53, 135.33, 133.21, 131.22, 124.41, 124.02, 122.33, 105.43, 69.41, 56.85, 53.04, 45.71, 39.73, 39.58, 38.47, 32.05, 27.50, 26.72, 25.80, 25.67, 21.49, 17.90, 17.66, 17.51, 15.95. HRMS (ES): m/z calcd for C₂₇H₄₀O₃Na (M+Na): 435.2875, found: 435.2878. Compound **28**: IR (Neat): v_{max} 1737, 1660.
1599 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.74 (s, 1H), 5.05–4.95 (m, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.15 (s, 1H), 3.10 (s, 1H), 2.21–2.15 (m, 4H), 1.89–1.84 (m, 1H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 3H), 1.54 (s, 3H), 1.23–1.06 (m, 3H), 0.91 (s, 3H), 0.89–
0.84 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 206.43, 195.68, 175.60, 133.39 131.70, 124.00, 122.12, 105.95, 61.39, 61.04, 56.65, 44.54, 38.92, 38.54, 33.52, 28.06, 25.79, 25.73, 21.61, 17.90, 17.58, 17.47. HRMS (ES): m/z calcd for $C_{22}H_{33}O_3$ (M+H): 345.2429, found: 345.2427. Compound 29: IR (Neat): v_{max} 1737, 1654, 1604 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.68 (s, 1H), 5.11-4.96 (m 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 3.13 (br s, 1H), 3.09 (s, 1H), 2.44–2.40 (m, 1H), 2.16–2.06 (m, 3H), 1.88–1.78 (m, 1H), 1.68 (s, 9H), 1.56 (s, 3H), 1.35–1.25 (m, 2H), 0.88 (s, 3H), 0.89–0.85 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 206.66, 194.14, 175.57, 133.24, 131.78, 124.18, 122.30, 105.43, 69.37, 56.87, 53.03, 45.71, 39.56, 38.47, 32.03, 27.49, 25.81, 25.73, 21.60, 17.93, 17.65, 17.48. HRMS (ES): m/z calcd for $C_{22}H_{33}O_3$ (M+H): 345.2429, found: 345.2427. Coumpound **30**: IR (Neat): v_{max}
1732, 1656, 1598 cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.71 (s, 1H), 5.09–5.06 (m. 1H), 4.98–4.95 (m, 2H), 3.74 (s, 3H), 3.14 (s, 1H), 2.48–2.34 (m, 3H), 2.17–2.11 (m, 1H), 1.95–1.84 (m, 1H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.64 (s, 3H), 1.60 (s, 3H), 1.56 (s, 3H), 1.54–1.38 (m, 2H), 1.29–1.18 (m, 2H), 0.85 (s, 3H)
0.89–0.81 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 207.05, 193.84, 177.39, 133.66 133.12, 131.67, 124.28, 122.49, 119.36, 106.23, 70.39, 56.83, 56.74, 45.88, 40.71, 39.20, 38.49, 29.63, 27.59, 25.87, 25.82, 25.72, 21.71, 17.95, 17.89, 17.67, 17.60. HRMS (ES): m/z calcd for C₂₇H₄₀O₃Na (M+Na): 435.2875, found: 435.2871.